



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/456,263	12/07/1999	JUSSI RUUTU	297-009078-U	6196	
75	90 04/07/2004		EXAMINER		
CLARENCE A GREEN			FIELDS, COURTNEY D		
PERMAN & G	- <del> </del>		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
FAIRFIELD, C	CT 06430		2137	7	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	)04 /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Pre			
c	Application h		Applicant(s)				
·	09/456,263		RUUTU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Courtney D. Fiel		2137				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 F</u>	ebruary 2004.						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ Thi	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consider						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	cepted or b) ob e drawing(s) be held ction is required if th	I in abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been recents have been recents have been recents have documents hau (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application ave been receive 2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	-` 4) 🗌	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	<i>'</i>		atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2137

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 02 February 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, Ghani et al U.S. Patent No. 6,160,793.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vidrascu et al. in view of Ghani et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,160,793. As per claims 1-5 and 11, Vidrascu et al. discloses a method for processing IP traffic within a TCP header. For each message, at least a part of the TCP header is encrypted at the same time as the "data" part of the message without encrypting the "header" part of the message. This will enable the transmission of the message according to the IP protocol, and if the headers are not encrypted, processing including "acknowledgements" are placed into the header to indicate processing. (See Column 12, lines 1-20, Figures 9 and 12). However, Vidrascu et al. does not explicitly disclose an indication on which processing is based into the header of a datagram. As per claim 1, Ghani et al. discloses a method

Art Unit: 2137

indicating congestion within a network by using explicit congestion notification bits. ECN bits are placed within the IP header. The TCP header provides acknowledgments to the IP datagram for detecting lost data packets. During the IP protocol processing, congested network routers set the ECN bits in the IP headers. The bits are echoed back to the source by returning ACK packets. Upon receiving TCP ACK packets, this will indicate to the IP datagram, the performance of data traffic over the network. (See Column 6, lines 26-56, and Figure 4)

Therefore it would have obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Vidrascu et al.'s method of enciphering messages using IP and TCP protocols by combining Ghani et al.'s method for reducing congestion in networks. This will enhance the performance of IP data traffic over networks without requiring reconstructing packets. (See Ghani et al. in Column 3, lines 51-54)

As per claim 6, Vidrascu et al. as modified, discloses a means for having a window size field within a TCP header in Figure 10.

As per claim 7, Vidrascu et al. as modified, discloses a means for having an options field within the IPv4 header in Figure 9.

As per claims 8-10, Vidrascu et al. as modified, discloses a means for having a header comprising: a source port used for sending the IP traffic, a destination port used for receiving the IP traffic and a length field used for specifying the length of the extension header in Figure 11.

Application/Control Number: 09/456,263

Art Unit: 2137

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Courtney D. Fields whose telephone number is 703-305-8293. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu 7:00 - 5:00 pm; off every Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

cdf March 31, 2004

MATTHEW SMITHERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Art Unit 2137

Page 4